

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,710	10/29/2003	Lung T. Tran	10017427-1	5581
7590 08/31/2004 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
			HO, TU TU V	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		2818	
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/695,710	TRAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tu-Tu Ho	2818	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO attute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	9 October 2003.		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or subject to restriction and/or subject to restriction.	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a light service.	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/695,710

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restriction

Claims 1-16 are pending in this application.

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-12 and 15-16, drawn to a memory device, classified in class 257, subclass 295, 530, or 421.
 - **II.** Claims 13-14, drawn to a method of producing a tunnel junction for use in a memory device, classified in class 438, subclass 3.
- 2. If invention I is selected, Applicant is further required to elect one of the following patently distinct species:

Species IA. Illustrated in Figure 4A

Species IB. Illustrated in Figure 4B

Species IC. Illustrated in Figures 4C and 4D

3. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none is generic (with "non-uniform surface" and "non-uniform thickness" both being interpreted broadly).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is

Page 3

allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Claim 9 or claim 13 in the present form link(s) inventions I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 9 or claim 13. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the

Application/Control Number: 10/695,710

Art Unit: 2818

instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C.

121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA)

1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are

distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case

unpatentability of Invention I would not necessarily imply unpatentability of Invention II, since

the device of Invention I could be made by processes materially different from those of Invention

II. For example, the non-uniform surface of the Invention I could be formed by a wet etch

process.

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their different classification and because of their recognized

divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

7. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/695,710 Page 5

Art Unit: 2818

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition

under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tu-Tu Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-1778. The

examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, DAVID NELMS can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu-Tu Ho

August 25, 2004